

RESOLUTION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY OF  
THE TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH, FLORIDA  
RESOLUTION NUMBER 2008-19

WHEREAS, Lighthouse Resort Inn and Suites, the property owner, by and through Thomas F. Kolar (applicant), filed a Petition for Designation of Historically Significant or Landmark Sign for the following sign: Lighthouse Resort, pursuant to Section 30-56(b) of the Town of Fort Myers Beach Land Development Code (LDC) and Chapter 13 of the Town of Fort Myers Beach Comprehensive Plan (Comp Plan); and

WHEREAS, the subject property is located at 1051 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Fort Myers Beach, Lee County, Florida and the applicant has indicated that the subject property has a current STRAP number of 24-46-23-W3-00206.0060; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing for this matter was legally advertised and held before the Town of Fort Myers Beach Local Planning Agency (LPA) on May 20, 2008, at which time the LPA granted applicant's request for a continuance to its July 15, 2008 meeting; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting of July 15, 2008, the LPA gave full and complete consideration to the request of applicant, the documents in the file, the standards set forth in Chapter 13 of the Comp Plan and Section 30-56(b) of the LDC and the testimony of all interested persons.

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE LPA OF THE TOWN OF FORT MYERS BEACH, FLORIDA as follows:

The LPA determines that the sign **IS NOT** a landmark or an object of significance in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering or culture that may be related to a specific setting or environment for the reasons set forth below. Accordingly, the LPA **DOES NOT** designate the Lighthouse Resort Landmark Sign as either a **landmark and historically significant sign**, pursuant to LDC Section 30-56(b) and Chapter 13 of the Comp Plan.

**FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. The sign:

a) **IS NOT** associated with events that significantly contributed to the broad patterns of Estero Island's history, or

b) **IS NOT** associated with the lives of persons significant in Estero Island's past, or

c) **DOES NOT** embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or **DOES NOT** possess high artistic values or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

d) On an individual basis, the sign does not constitute a significant site, **AND DOES NOT** contribute to the overall significance of a district.